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It is said that men have a feminine side and women have a masculine side. It is further said that the masculine side is strength, whereas the feminine side is goodness. There are those who say that there is a low side to the masculine side which is weakness, and a low side to the feminine side which is badness.

But, generally speaking, it is asserted that if a man has goodness, love, compassion and sensitivity, it is his feminine side since these qualities are female traits.

Men have a deep value of their own lives, it is said, while women have a deep value of the lives of others. Therefore if a man has a deep value of the life of one or more others, it is his feminine side that is being displayed. On the other side, the femininity of women means that they do not interfere in the lives of others.

Men have the power to accomplish things whereas women always have the power to do what is right and proper.

Before we proceed I must emphasise that what I have written is accepted as the correct definition of the feminine side. This is what many psychologists and feminists say and emphatically.

They take it further and state that PMT is the fault of both men's dominance and masculine power and this is always the case. PMT and the women's consequent suffering is man's fault.

To sum up, man's feminine side means that if he has any goodness, virtue or decency it is because he has the traits of women and their goodness. A man cannot be sensitive and loving if he does not have these feminine attributes and does not have a feminine side. All goodness comes women. Again, I must emphasise that this is the general teaching on the subject and, therefore, if you believe this, you accept that men has a feminine side.

If a woman is sexually aggressive and demanding and inflicts pain on her partner and displays violence in intercourse she is said to be showing her masculine side. If a man is very gentle and loving in intercourse he is showing his female side. If a woman commits a horrific murder, as some have such as Rosemary West, she is displaying her masculine side.

All goodness is feminine. All evil is masculine. That is the basis of these beliefs.

If we believe this, we agree that women do not gossip or interfere at any time. And what women do is always right. And all the good qualities of love, devotion, goodness decency and virtue are the exclusive domains of women.

If men show a feminine side, does that not suggest that some such men are effeminate?

A man wearing a dress, women's underwear, earrings, perfume, suspender belt with stockings and carrying a handbag and wearing high heel shoes as his common practise is effeminate and this constitutes a personality disorder and it is a mental illness. If he does this is his capacity as an actor the verdict may be different.

Is effeminacy shown in homosexuals? If the partner in a homosexual act takes the place of a woman and receives the other man in his back passage, is he not taking the feminine role? Is this effeminacy and another example of a personality order?
My beliefs may be influenced by a very small extent by mother who believed that all women were as pure as newly laid snow and that women hated sex saying it was animal behaviour and only agreed to it in order to become a mother! And before you criticise my mother, there are millions of women today who still believe this! They are called feminists!

Perhaps I should also add that I am not sexist. I believe in the equality of the sexes but also maintain that they have different roles. A man cannot give birth for example. Women were created for this noble purpose.

In the world of classical music it is said, with some truth, that some male composers wrote effeminate music such as Chopin and Schubert. This is true but will have to be the subject of another essay.

Homosexuality is not accidental or a natural thing. Nor is it genetic. A woman may have identical twins and one turns out to be gay and the other straight, yet they are of the same genetic material. I know gay men who are gay because they fear women or have been exclusively brought up by women having no father, brothers or male relatives. According to many medical and psychiatric reports very many men are in crisis because of the dominance and threatening attitude of women in their lives. I have a male relative in a mental hospital because of his wife who is evil.

I want to stress that I am not homophobic. I certainly do not hate homosexuals but I hate homosexual acts.

There may be people here who have loved ones … perhaps a son or daughter or a grandchild whom you love very much but they may be an alcoholic or have a drug addiction which you understandably hate, but you still love them.

I know several men who were heterosexual, married with lovely children who then became homosexual. And often with homosexuality, there develops pederasty and bestiality which are loathsome acts. This is an evidence that men are not born gay.

How a homosexual man wants to rape and/or have anal sex with a young boy or teenage boy is beyond my compression. I am also aware of homosexuals, both male and female, who download pornography and child pornography, although heterosexuals also do this. It is asserted that over 33 per cent of internet users regularly watch pornography.

I know two famous men who were brought up without a father, brothers, uncles or any or few male contacts and such men have reacted against petticoat government and seek love from a man, a father figure. I recall a man of my age who become homosexual because of the cruelty and dominance of his mother.

What is undeniable is that many homosexual men are effeminate. You can detect them even on television programmes and when you know nothing about them and have not seen them before.

There are gay people who despise heterosexuals and yet we do not call them heterophobic!

What safeguards can be given to heterosexuals who find homosexuality grossly offensive? Television programmes which show gay men kissing or lesbians doing this causes widespread offence.

There are widespread reports of a woman who has sex with animals particularly her pony. How she achieves this I cannot say but merely thinking about it gives me the shudders.

Is this not a personality disorder, a sexual deviancy and a mental illness?

I know some gay men who state that anal sex with boys and that bestiality are the next steps up from homosexuality and that pornography is an essential part of their sexual orientation.
However, it would be wrong to say that all homosexuals are like this.

The spread of HIV/AIDS has occurred by gay men. It has been shown that innocent people including children have caught this disease in swimming pools where gay men have discharged certain bodily fluids. That is dismissed by many primarily to avoid a scare. Some children are born with AIDS because of the promiscuity of one or both of their parents. There are almost 17 million children aged between 0 and 17 who have AIDS some due to homosexuality and others because of the promiscuity of their parents.

I wish to repeat that I know some homosexuals who say that heterosexuality is disgusting yet we do not call them heterophobic!

There is also the troublesome matter of those wanting a sex change, or sex reassignment as it is now called. What is wrong with the expression sex change? There are people who want such a change saying that they were born in the wrong body, a concept which may be hard to understand.

This is a psychological problem. Psychology is, after all, the study of human behaviour and deals with the mental aspects of people and there are animal psychologists as well, of course. Mental is what involves the mind and mental illness consists of various disorders in which a person's thoughts and emotions are abnormal and this causes suffering, anxiety, depression and turmoil to the people with the mental illness.

If people want a sex change, it is a mental problem and they undergo psychological assessments.

Certain cautions have to be taken here. If a man rapes a child, or anyone else, that is a crime and is punishable by law. Is it also a mental illness? If we are to assert that rape is a mental illness we are on dangerous ground. A woman may dress provocatively, tease and flirt and inflame a man's lust leading to his assaulting her. One cannot claim that that was the result of a mental illness but of a criminal mind. There are women who train little girls to allow men to have sex with them and the little girls are paid, but most of their earnings go their parents. I call these women paedophiles and they rightly deserve my disgust and yours.

I cannot understand how a young man can rape an 80 year old woman by forcing her to have sex. We may label this ‘sick’ but is it a crime or is it the product of mental illness, or both? How do the Courts decide?

Some of the psychologists and feminists who insists that women are the only sex who can display goodness, will advocate that rape may often be the product of mental illness and that a male rapist is an example that he lacks, or lacked on this occasion, his feminine side!

This is sexism, class distinction, the desire for women to be superior and some have said, “Men have made a mess of the world for centuries. It is time we took over and showed them how we will improve things!”

And a friend, who is a lady, replied, “Yes? And we have got Patricia Hewitt and Harriet Harman. Yuck!”

There are parents in the USA who believe in incest, claiming that this practice is the best way to teach their children about sex. Is this the result of mental illness, faulty thinking or just plain sexual deviancy? Of course, incest is not only sex with your children, but can be sexual intercourse between two people who are closely related.

There is a danger of being judgemental. The question of human rights crops up. If a man wants to be gay and a women wants to be a lesbian that is no one's business but theirs. This is what is said. If a woman wants sex with her pony that is her business and the goodness of women will mean that women will not gossip or interfere in this matter or any other matter.
The Church has come in for harsh criticism because it is said to be judgemental. The teaching of the Bible is forgiveness but upon repentance of the offence. Are there cases when forgiveness is disallowed such as in the case of Myra Hindley the Moors murderer of children?

In any organisation, there are rules and regulations and, generally speaking, members have to abide by them. One could legitimately criticise the rules of some societies but it is the Church that gets the most flack. If they disallow a sex offender, because of his downloading child pornography, to have any official position in the church to safeguard its children is that church wrong?

My objection to witchcraft is known but it has rules and regulations or, if you prefer, teachings.

Two young teenage girls in my class, whom I saw every day for English and History, had parents who believed in regularly dancing naked round a huge fire in a secluded area with all other members of their witchcraft group. One day I announced that I was taking part in a night time charity walk and I gave details of the route. The girls looked frightened.

On Monday, the girls asked if the walk was successful but they still looked scared. They broke down and, with a female teacher; we had a private interview with them. They told us that their parents took them to the dancing naked around the bonfire event and they had to dance naked as well. They we frightened that I might see them on my charity walk. The girls felt that they were abused and hated the fact that others saw them naked including their parents and other men. Some other girls were taken by men deep into the bushes and you can consider the consequent results.

It raises questions. Is any of this legal? Were the girls being abused by being forced to dance naked against their will? Was this activity voyeurism, sexual deviancy or the product of evil and sexual exploitation? Is this witchcraft activity the product of both people and an organisation that is mentally sick? It obviously caused the girls great distress. Is this practice decadent?

I have friends who are solicitors and barristers who say that this nude dancing and forcing children to take part should be openly declared as illegal and participants be prosecuted and given severe sentences.

Is this not indecent exposure? The Sexual Offences Act of 2003 is not clear on such issues. Indecent exposure is the deliberate exposure of one's sexuality in public. It is often called exhibitionism and the Courts can imprison offenders for up to two years. Historically-forced-nudity was a means of punishment by humiliation.

The government allow some nude beaches and justify their existence by putting up warning signs. Surely that encourages many to go and have a look!

It has been shown that naturalists, or nudists, in the main, go naked to attract attention or to legally enjoy voyeurism and many nudists have confirmed this in several television documentaries.

A friend accidentally walked into the wrong hotel room opened for him by an employee of that hotel. There standing before him full frontal was a beautiful women naked. She screamed, covered up and called him a pervert and yet her evening job was stripping naked in front of an audience!

It is said that nudity in art is not an offence; it is art. But it causes titillation. It is clearly a type of voyeurism.

At a local school there was an art teacher, we will call him Harry, who had, at his own home, the facilities of developing photographs and he had framed pictures on the wall of his lounge of his two children, a boy and a girl, both nude and fully frontal. He had these photos retaken every year and the new photos would be in the frames. At school he would offer teenage girls money if they would be nude models for him providing they did not tell their parents. One day he was caught by pupils
having sex with the French mistress whose husband/partner came to his house and beat him up. The Police conducted an investigation and found in his home a book naming girls whose skirts he had looked up and the colour of their knickers. There was also a list of girls he had asked to be nude models for him and the money he had offered or paid. We called him a lugsman, lugs standing for looking up girls’ skirts.

His defence was that this was art. I cannot see that deliberately going around the school and the grounds to view girls’ underwear is art. Harry said that his art work was neither voyeurism nor sexual deviancy. For reasons I do not understand, he was not prosecuted and is still teaching. One girl who was in his book reported his voyeurism of her (she called it sexual violation) to her mother who made a complaint to the School Governors who did nothing but said what a good teacher Harry was!

Another school teacher who was gay was known as Apache because of how he wore his hair. He would take gay magazines into school and leave one for a boy whom he thought could be a future conquest. Although gay, he raped a lady teacher who was a friend of mine. The police found in his flat downloaded images of children in sexual acts with adults. He was not prosecuted for that but taken to the Magistrates Court, although it should have been the Crown Court, and given the maximum fine of £2000 for the sexual assault and is still teaching.

I have told you of a man of my age who was brought up by a cruel and dominating mother and became homosexual. He would bring naughty magazines to school of nude men. He would wear nail varnish on his finger nails and talk and behave like a girl. In his fifties he was caught in the local toilets committing an act of gross indecency with another man and taken to Court. His church rightly sacked him although he had a lenient sentence and did not go to prison. Why not? If he were not gay he would not have committed this offence.

We have to consider these matters in a balanced way. Benjamin Britten was gay and when it was a criminal offence to be so. But he also raped and had anal sex with boys and advocated bestiality. He was evil, but was he also mad or mentally ill?

Tchaikovsky became a homosexual but his case requires some sympathy, I suggest. As a teenager and young man, he mixed with many young soldiers, often in large groups, who being away from women for long periods had developed homosexual tendencies and, therefore, the young boy was surrounded with this and may have believed it was understandable in the circumstances explained by the soldiers who he regarded as heroes.

Voyeurism is dealt with in the Sexual Offences Act of 2003. It is generally regarded as the observance of nudity or sexual acts upon unsuspecting victims and is defined as sexually deviant behaviour. It is also defined as a sexual disorder and therefore a mental problem. It is a breach of privacy where the victim or one of the victims is not aware of being seen. It is also an offence if the nudity is forced on the victim so that their nudity is seen against their wishes.

It is not always clear in what circumstances people can be arrested for voyeurism, but they can. It seems to be based on the level of distress it has caused to the victim.

To complicate matters, some women appear nude in magazines, television programmes and encourage voyeurism. Some are proud to be known as porn stars. The psychologists who say men have a feminine side or that rapists are mentally ill will say that such women do not encourage voyeurism or sexual offences; that how women dress, or do not dress, is never the reason why women are sexually assaulted. After all goodness dwells in women who always do what is right. That is the feminist doctrine.

As to the homosexual issue, it has been said that God made Adam and Eve not Adam and Steve.
A Biblical doctrine which people hate is that anyone who tempts or teases another to commit an offense, which would include sexual offences, is also partly responsible for the consequent offence.

The whole concept of women being good and having all the virtues is not fair on men. Men can have virtues born of themselves and their masculinity, can't they?

Sex is thrown at us from all angles. It is an obsession. It is used in advertising: it appears in newspapers, magazines and on television. The largest part of the modern film industry seem to major on sex, nudity, violence and very bad language. Does this not prove that morals are in serious decline and that society itself is becoming increasingly sick and decadent.

The rights of homosexuals are regarded as more important than morals and Christian principles. A Christian couple in Cornwall were taken to Court and fined for refusing two gay men to be among their guests and share a double bed. A couple in Derbyshire, who had faithfully fostered children for many years, are no longer allowed to foster children because they are against homosexuality. A woman at a desk at Heathrow Airport wore a crucifix and was told not to as it was not part of the correct uniform...yet Asian women could wear their national dress. A little girl at my local school was expelled because she spoke about Jesus and her mother, who also worked there, was sacked. The government has forbidden Divine Creation to be taught as it is neither fact or scientific. County Councils who have prayers before Council meetings are being persuaded to drop this procedure. Governments are trying to persuade the church to 'marry' gays and have threatened to take legal action against clergy who refuse to conduct these 'civil' ceremonies. Michael Foot said that you cannot be a member of the Labour Party or even a supporter, if you are a Christian. I have him on video saying this. The government wants to stamp out Christmas cards since they might have a Christian theme which would offend many. Members of the Labour Party wanted churches who have painted on the walls on each side of the altar the Ten Commandments and the Lord's Prayer whitewashed out as they give offence. A teenager girl wore a fidelity ring and was told she must not wear this as jewellery was not part of the school uniform. She explained that she was a Christian and that this ring was a statement that she would not have sex until she was married. She was ridiculed for this. A boy was beaten up at a school because he refused to let a male homosexual teacher have sex with him. Tony Blair said that living with someone to whom you were not married was exactly the same as marriage; that a child born out of wedlock was not a bastard or illegitimate but a love child. He also said that all religions were the same!

I must emphasise again that the definition of gender and the meaning of men allegedly having a feminine side is defined here in the words of those who believe and support this teaching. Some go farther and say that God is both man and woman!

Spiritualists seem to be the most active advocates of the feminine side in men. In the early days of spiritualism in the 1840s in the USA most of the spiritualists and mediums were women!

In writing this article I realise that I have hammered home these points before. I also have to say that I am not a paragon of virtue. I have faults and am not proud of them. I do not object to kindly people telling me about my failures as long as they are not abusive or blinkered!
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